Assessing Air Quality Impacts of Airport Emissions from Local to Regional Scales

Sarav Arunachalam, Ph.D. Institute for the Environment The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

New York City Metro Area Energy & Air Quality Data Gaps Workshop May 24-25, 2017

# Outline



- Context of Health Risk due to Aircraft Emissions compared to other Sectors
- Aircraft Emissions Spatio-temporal Profiles
- Air Quality Studies
  - Regional-Scale Studies at Select Airports
    - Atlanta, Chicago and Providence
  - Future Year Assessment
    - US-wide impacts
  - Airport-Specific Impacts using Sensitivity Modeling
    - NAS-wide vs. Top 99 airports in the U.S.
    - Illustration for NYC Top 3 airports
  - UFP due to Aircraft
  - Local-Scale Dispersion Studies
    - Los Angeles International Source Apportionment Study
- Conclusion

# **Health Risks Due to Air Pollution**



#### Risk Factors by Burden of Disease

Ranking legend   1-5 6-10 11-15   16-20 21-25 26-30   31-35 36-40 >40   Risk factor $$	Global	High-income Asia Pacific	Western Europe	Australasia	High-income North America	Central Europe	Southern Latin America	Eastern Europe	East Asia	Tropical Latin America	Central Latin America	Southeast Asia	Central Asia	Andean Latin America	North Africa and Middle East	Caribbean	South Asia	Oceania	Southern sub-Saharan Africa	Eastern sub-Saharan Africa	Central sub-Saharan Africa	Western sub-Saharan Africa
High blood pressure	1	1	2	3	3	1	2	2	1	1	4	1	1	2	1	1	3	5	2	5	5	6
Tobacco smoking, including second-hand smoke	2	2	1	2	1	2	3	3	2	4	5	2	2	5	3	3	2	3	5	7	12	10
Household air pollution from solid fuels	3	42		:	:	14	23	20	5	18	11	3	12	7	25	8	1	4	7	2	2	2
Diet low in fruits	4	4	7	6	6	5	6	5	3	6	7	4	4	10	6	7	5	9	8	8	11	13
Alcohol use	5	5	6	9	7	4	4	1	8	2	2	6	5	1	18	9	10	7	1	6	10	5
High body-mass index	6	8	3	1	2	3	1	4	9	3	1	9	3	3	2	2	17	2	3	14	18	15
High fasting plasma glucose	7	7	5	5	4	7	5	10	7	5	3	5	7	6	4	4	7	1	6	10	13	11
Childhood underweight	8	39	38	37	30	8	28	28	28	32	73	13	25	18	20	14	4	8	9	1	1	1
Ambient particulate matter pollution	9	9	11	26	14	12	24	14	4	27	19	11	10	24	7	19	6	32	25	16	14	7
Physical inactivity and low physical activity	10	3	4	4		6	7	7	10	9	G	0	9	0	5	6	11	6	11	15	15	16

	GBD (20 <sup>-</sup>	10)	GBD (2015)					
	Risk Ranking	Premature Mortalities	Risk Ranking	Premature Mortalities				
Ambient Ozone	39	152K	34	254K				
Ambient PM	9	3.2M	5	4.2M				

Lim et al, Lancet 2012, Cohen et al Lancet, 2017

# Health Risk by Sector in U.S.







Caiazzo et al, AE 2013 Yim et al, AE 2013

Transportation sectors contribution is ~33% for  $PM_{2.5}$  and 63% for  $O_3$ Aircraft contribute about 2% for  $O_3$  and 1% for  $PM_{2.5}$  in the U.S.

## **Aircraft Exhaust**





### FAA's Emissions Model EDMS -> AEDT





- Only landing and take-off (below 3000 ft) includes climb out, approach, taxi, and idle Estimated from Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT) based on the aircraft locations
  - NOx, SO2, VOC, CO + 3 directly emitted components of PM<sub>2.5</sub>

EDMS: Emissions Dispersion Modeling System AEDT: Aviation Environmental Design Tool

#### Wilkerson et al, ACP 2010 Baek et al, 2012



### PM<sub>2.5</sub> formed from LTO emissions at 3 U.S. airports Atlanta, Chicago, Providence



- Focus on Grid-cell containing airport
- Up to 40% of PM<sub>2.5</sub> is due to secondary contribution

Arunachalam et al, AE 2011

# Hybrid Modeling with CMAQ and SCIPUFF (Atlanta Airport)





- Maximum puff conc > 10x grid conc, vary between 6.1 42.1 μg/m<sup>3</sup>
- Use of a subgrid-scale treatment may be less important if one seeks to understand only median impacts, but provides insight in revealing potential max impacts masked by grid-scale modeling

•

## **SOA due to Aircraft**





- Measured changes in PM mass at different loads (4% idle; 7% taxi, 30% landing and 85% takeoff)
- Traditional SOA model underpredicts total SOA by ~60% at 4% load, and ~40% at 85% load

Miracolo et al, ACP 2011

# Non-traditional SOA Contributions to PM<sub>2.5</sub> at ATL



 $\mathsf{PM}_{2.5}$ 

NTSOA

## NTSOA/PM<sub>2.5</sub>\*100



NTSOA formed from oxidation of S/IVOCs, typically not accounted for in AQMs

- NTSOA contributed 1.7 7.4% at ATL; ~6x higher than aircraft TSOA
- NTSOA comprised up to 30% of aviation-attributable PM<sub>2.5</sub> downwind of ATL

# Aviation attributable PM<sub>2.5</sub> Contributions – Current and Future - U.S. Wide activity



- Future year 2025 PM<sub>2.5</sub> impacts due to aircraft activity growth is 5.5x that of 2005 (using 2005 climate)
- Most of this growth is due to increase in "Free ammonia" in 2025 (8%  $\uparrow$  in background NH<sub>3</sub> and 35%  $\checkmark$  in background NO<sub>x</sub> emissions)
  - Incorporating change in climate increases this to 5.9x (~7% additional contribution)

# Future Year AQ Impacts of Growth B Aviation from 2005 to 2025



Aircraft 2005

of Annual

Ratio

2 5 Average Ne.

Relat

AEC

ANH4

ANO3

Species

Arunachalam et al, 2015

ASO4

PM25



#### Annual Average PM<sub>2.5</sub>

2025 CL

2025



#### 98<sup>th</sup> Percentile DMax O<sub>3</sub>





#### 98<sup>th</sup> Percentile DMax NO<sub>2</sub>



- Aviation emissions cause a  $\sim$ 6x increase in future year PM<sub>2.5</sub> impacts, mostly from secondary components
- # Grid-cells exceeding O<sub>3</sub> NAAQS (75 ppb) see a 60%  $\uparrow$  in future year due to change in climate
  - Aircraft emissions increase future year NO<sub>2</sub> exceedances by 6x in some major urban areas

# Primary and Secondary PM<sub>2.5</sub> Impaces ANC Downwind Distances of Airport



Secondary PM<sub>2.5</sub>



Radial analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> from CMAQ-DDM Simulations of 99 U.S. Airports

# **Speciated individual airport PM<sub>2.5</sub> sensitivities at home grid cell**



When sensitivity of  $PM_{2.5}$  is disaggregated by precursor, the amount of  $PM_{2.5}$  species produced by each tagged input can be seen. Airports shown in descending order of home-cell  $PM_{2.5}$  sensitivity.

Boone et al, 2015

# U.S. airports PM<sub>2.5</sub> sensitivity by radius





Boone et al, 2015

# Relative contribution at airport grid cell in UNC



Boone et al, 2015

Higher total aerosol concentration  $(log_{10}) \rightarrow$ 

• Several airports contribute > 0.1% of total  $PM_{2.5}$  in the vicinity of airport

# Airport-specific premature mortalities







### Sensitivities of O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> due to Precursors from NYC airports - EWR, JFK and LGA









# UFP at Los Angeles International (LAX) Airport





Recent studies indicate that number concentrations of ultrafine particle significantly increase due to LTO activity in LAX, BOS, AMST, Rome (Hudda et al., 2016; Hudda and Fruin, 2016; Keuken et al., 2015; Riley et al., 2016; Stafoggia et al., 2016)

#### Hudda et al., ES&T 2014

## **Aircraft-attributable UFP Impacts**



New

Impact of new CMAQ module to treat aircraft emissions using size characteristics from engine measurements Traditional

UFP number concentration [#/m<sup>3</sup>]

UFP number concentration [%]



In airport grid-cells, PM<sub>2.5</sub> mass ↓ by upto ~25%, whereas particle number concentration (of UFP) by ↑ upto ~5x at large airports

20

## LAX Airport Source Apportionment Study



- Los Angeles International (LAX) chosen because •
  - LAX is one of the top 5 airports in the U.S.
  - Los Angeles World Airport (LAWA) conducted the Air Quality Source Apportionment Study (AQSAS) Phase III
  - Intensive field campaign during two seasons
    - Winter (January 31 March 13, 2012)
    - Summer (July 18 August 12, 2012)
    - Over 400 compounds measured at 17 locations
    - 4 "core", 4 "satellite" and 9 "gradient"



#### Top 15 Busiest US Airports

# Aircraft LTO Activity at LAX and monitoring locations





http://www.lawa.org/airQualityStudy.aspx?id=7716

# Emissions from Aircraft sources



Aircraft and GSE dominate NO<sub>x</sub>

Aircraft dominate SO<sub>x</sub>

PM<sub>2.5</sub> is from several sources

MENT

NOx

SOx

# Mean NO<sub>x</sub> during Summer





# AERMOD and SCICHEM predicted means are closer to observations, while ADMS and CALPUFF tend to overpredict

Arunachalam et al, ACRP Report 179, In Press

# Summary Points (1 of 2)



- Health impacts from PM<sub>2,5</sub> dominate compared to other pollutants (O<sub>3</sub>, air toxics) (Levy et al., 2008)
- Secondary PM<sub>2.5</sub> dominates at downwind distances (~200-300 km from airport), while primary components dominate in near field (Arunachalam et al, 2011)
- Future year AQ impacts of aviation growth in U.S. dominated by nitrate aerosol, largely due to increase in background free ammonia (Woody et al, 2011)
- Future year aviation-related health impacts in U.S. would increase by 6.1x from 2005 to 2025 (2.1x due to emissions, 1.3x to population, and 2.3x to background) (Levy et al, 2012)
- Incorporating for change in climate adds another ~7%, which we attribute as "climate penalty" (Arunachalam et al, 2015)
- Hybrid modeling approach assists with assessing local and regional AQ impacts of aviation (Rissman et al, 2013)

# Summary Points (2 of 2)



- NTSOA contributions can be upto ~30% of total PM<sub>2.5</sub> due to airport emissions (Woody et al, 2015)
  - Recently gained knowledge on SOA (TSOA + NTSOA) shifts both magnitude and composition of aviation-attributable PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- Stringent revisions to health-based standards will likely exacerbate aviation-related contributions to exceedances in nonattainment areas
- CMAQ-DDM based sensitivity approach provides potentially powerful framework to explore attainment/non-attainment issues
- Additional work needed to
  - Reduce uncertainties in aircraft emissions of nvPM, and precursors of voIPM [Stettler et al, 2013; Penn et al, AE 2015]
  - Characterize UFP impacts (Mass vs. Number on a size-resolved basis)
  - Enhance local-scale dispersion models to represent aircraft sources adequately for accurate local-scale impact assessment

# **Acknowledgements**



- Sponsors
  - FAA/NASA/DOD/DOE/EPA/Transport Canada under PARTNER and ASCENT Centers of Excellence
  - Los Angeles World Authority (LAWA)
  - Transportation Research Board
  - NASA ROA: NNH10ZEA001N NextGen CTD2
- UNC-IE Students and Post-Docs: Matt Woody, Jeff Rissman, Pradeepa Vennam, Scott Boone, Calvin Arter and Joey Huang
- Other UNC-IE Staff: BH Baek, Jared Bowden, Mohammad Omary, Alex Valencia
- Boston University: Jon Levy



Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the FAA, or other PARTNER/ASCENT sponsors.